

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/635,048	MESSADEK, JALLAL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TIMOTHY E. BETTON	1617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TIMOTHY E. BETTON (3) _____

(2) Tracy L. Bornman (4) _____

Date of interview: 02 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 14-19, 21-25, 27-30 and 42.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion with Atty Bornman was drawn to the amendments to the claims as disclosed. Specifically in claims 28 and 29, it was suggested to replace the term glycine betaine with the specific chemical name as disclosed in claim 14. Further suggestions included the deletion of 'precursors thereof' and the 'and preventing a condition' in the claims found to be allowable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

TEB